A CLASSIC CONUNDRUM IN THE WRITING OF HISTORY CONCERNS THE QUESTION of agency. Do humans make history, or do larger forces—that cannot be ascribed to an individual or a group—actually make things happen? In one respect, the question is unhelpful—surely the answer is “all of the above” (and perhaps more). That wise observation does not, however, make the question disappear. Its persistence suggests a grasp of the options we consider as we seek to understand, in retrospect, what happened and why.

Academic institutions face an analogous question. Do individuals make the intellectual community, or is that community something greater than what might be called the sum of its parts? As is the case with our accounts of history, I reply “all of the above.” What follows constitutes a “perhaps more” regarding the particular case of the Divinity School.

If someone were to write the history of the Divinity School, she or he could do worse than to compose a series of profiles of the many stellar individuals who have served on its faculty. That would result in a tome of considerable length, real interest, and rich anecdote. It would convey as well the freedom to pursue their inquiries into religion wherever their thoughts took them. Within the diversity of their writing and teaching, readers would see how frequently Divinity faculty consciously endeavor to serve a variety of publics—the academy, the churches and, more recently, the synagogues and the mosques, and the broader civil society. Such a volume would be studded with wonderful anecdotes, one or two tragedies, and many moments of humor. It would also imply the precedence at Chicago of the tutorial model of graduate education, in which the student apprentices herself to a great mind. Whether or not it would sell, it would require one to read between the lines to get the fullest story. It would obscure the fact that what makes Swift Hall the place that it has been, is now, and always will be is beyond the ken of any one person, or indeed any one collation of individuals, to foster or sustain.

This is the sort of point that lends itself to abstractions, and true to form I shall indulge those momentarily. But let me make the point initially in two different but much more concrete ways. One is to note that the model of pedagogy to which Swift Hall has always ascribed is not the tutorial model, but a communitarian one: we invite students to study with the faculty, and the requirements they must meet underscore the importance of wide consultation unified not by the work of a faculty member but by the student’s responsibility for her program. To be sure, despite our best efforts, and also because of our stellar faculty, every year there are students who matriculate to study with Professor X or Y. Those who do so speedily discover that, absent a wider frame of reference, their project will not pass muster. What genuinely excites this faculty is not the production of epigones, but intellectual innovation; and, more often than not, students generate such excitement with reference not merely to their own area of concentration but to a newly formulated intellectual space between it and other fields of thought.

A second way to capture what would be lost in the “great man” approach to the Divinity School resides in the familiar pattern of my conversations with alumni about their alma mater. These naturally and understandably proceed initially from their memory of the School as they knew it: who among my faculty (I cherish the possessive) remains? But this invariantly shifts to a very different question, asked with equal if not greater urgency: is Swift Hall still the same sort of place? Are the conversations still energetic and tough-minded? Can people still argue seriously and think in creative ways, from new perspectives? This perennial query from our graduates testifies to a larger sense of the place that goes beyond any individual, and to an enduring ethos that transcends not just individual superstars—faculty or student—but particular epochs and their specific curricula for teaching and learning.

From a decanal perspective, then, the strength of the Divinity School resides in its “both/and” answer to an “either/or” question. The “perhaps more” that accompanies it is that individual brilliance and accomplishment must have as its counterpart a commitment to conversation, in the conviction that it is the product of such exchanges that will inevitably represent the best that we can think—and thus do the greatest justice to the topic that we serve.

Richard A. Rosengarten, Dean

...what makes Swift Hall the place that it has been, is now, and always will be is beyond the ken of any one person, or indeed any one collation of individuals, to foster or sustain.

Letter from the Dean

News from the University of Chicago Divinity School
Faculty News and Notes

Browning’s Book Selected by ISSR Library

Religious Thought and the Modern Psychologies: A Critical Conversation in the Theology of Culture, (Fortress Press, 1987) by Don S. Browning, Alexander Campbell Professor Emeritus of Ethics and Social Sciences, has been selected as an element of the International Society for Science and Religion Library. The Library project was launched in November 2007 with the intention of creating a comprehensive resource for scholars, students, and interested lay readers in the area of science and the human spirit. The Library will consist of between two hundred and two hundred and fifty volumes that span the broad dialogue and crucial subfields, and provide essential background materials, providing the kind of coherent overview currently lacking in this still nascent field. Each book selected is subjected to a rigorous, multistage process.

Browning has also recently received the Distinguished Alumni Award by the Alumni Council of Disciples Divinity House and the Joann Rasmussen Silver Book Award for Intellectual Leadership by the Institute for American Values. The Center for Theological Inquiry in Princeton, New Jersey, has created the Don Browning Fellowship on Interdisciplinary Studies.

Browning has interests in the relation of religious thought to the social sciences, specifically in the way theological ethics may employ sociology, psychology, and the social scientific study of religion. A student of psychology, he has special interests in psychoanalysis, self-psychology, object-relations theory, and evolutionary psychology, and has written on the cultural, theological, and ethical analysis of the modern psychologies. An interest in issues and methods in practical theology led to his work A Fundamental Practical Theology: Descriptive and Strategic Proposals (Augsberg Fortress Publishers, 1991). As Director of the Lilly Project on Religion, Culture, and the Family, Professor Browning is now working on issues pertaining to the shape and future of the postmodern family.

Several Divinity School faculty members have recently received honors in recognition of their work to date and in support of their current research projects. To access online faculty profiles, which include bibliographies, photographs, and contact information for all faculty members, please see http://divinity.uchicago.edu/faculty/.

Evans to Give Otts-Maloney Lectures

Curtis J. Evans, Assistant Professor of the History of Christianity, will deliver the 2010 Otts-Maloney lectures to Davidson College. This lecture series consists of two lectures, given on successive evenings. Two separate endowments — the Otts and Maloney bequests — have enabled Davidson College in North Carolina to bring many great lecturers to their campus over the years in every area of religious studies. Recent memorable lecturers have included James Cone, Mary Ann Tolbert, Donald Shriver, Gustav Niebuhr, Kathryn Tanner, William Schweiker, Dale Martin, and Bart Ehrman.

Evans is a historian of American religion. His teaching interests include modern American religion, race and religion in U.S. history, and slavery and Christianity. His first book, The Burden of Black Religion (Oxford University Press, 2008), argued that black religion was crucial in debates about the role of blacks in American culture, especially prior to realistic prospects for integration. His research emphases are on interpretations and cultural images of African American religion, churches and the problem of racial and social reform, and the effects of consumerism on the practice of Christianity in the twentieth century. His current research project is an exploration of the formation and evolution of “Race Relations” Sundays founded in the 1920s under the auspices of the Federal Council of Churches.
Mendes-Flohr to Receive Honorary Degree

The Reconstructionist Rabbinical College will confer upon Paul Mendes-Flohr, Professor of Modern Jewish Thought, the degree of Doctor of Humane Letters at the school’s graduation on June 6, 2010, in Philadelphia.

The Doctor of Humane Letters is awarded to one individual each year who has produced scholarship of rare distinction, contributed in an outstanding way to Jewish communal and religious life in North America or Israel, and has acted in keeping with Reconstructionist ideals. In particular, Mendes-Flohr’s scholarship on Rosenzweig, Buber, and Scholem as well as the documentary history he has compiled are contribu-
tion

Mendes-Flohr’s major research interests include modern Jewish intellectual history, modern Jewish philosophy and religious thought, philosophy of religion, German intellectual history, and the history and soci-
ology of intellectuals. Together with Peter Schäfer, he serves as editor in chief of the twenty-two volume German edition of the collected works of Martin Buber, sponsored by the Berlin-Brandenburgische Akademie der Wissenschaften and the Israel Academy of Sciences and Humanities. He has recently written Judische Identität. Die zwei Seele der deutschen Juden, and is currently completing a biography of Franz Rosenzweig, as well as two additional books: Franz Rosenzweig and the Possibility of a Jewish Theology and Post-
Papers.” He will discuss how universities that provide broad liberal arts education can shape good citizens and social leaders through a subtle but profound process of moral recentering in critical dialogue with professional and scientific specialization. Dr. King’s unpublished papers offer thought-provoking examples of what one school, Morehouse College, nurtured in King and his peers, a model evident in many other institutions, especially the University of Chicago.

Franklin will deliver his Alumnus of the Year address on Thursday, April 29, 2010 in Swift Lecture Hall. A reception will follow. Watch our website for details.

Franklin Named Alumnus of the Year

The Board of Trustees of the Baptist Theological Union has named Robert Michael Franklin, Jr., Ph.D., the Divinity School’s Alumnus of the Year for 2010.

Franklin is the tenth President of Morehouse College, the nation’s largest private, four-year liberal arts college for men. Franklin earned his M.Div. from Harvard Divinity School in 1978, and his Ph.D. from The University of Chicago Divinity School in 1985 for work on Ethics and Society and Religion and the Social Sciences.

Prior to his appointment at Morehouse, Franklin was a Presidential Distinguished Professor of Social Ethics at Emory University, where he provided leadership for a university-wide initiative titled “Confronting the Human Condition and the Human Experience” and was a senior fellow at the Center for the Study of Law and Religion at the law school.

Franklin graduated Phi Beta Kappa from Morehouse in 1975 with a degree in political science and religion. He continued his edu-
cation at Harvard Divinity School, earning a master of divinity degree in Christian social ethics and pastoral care in 1978, and at the University of Chicago, earning his doctorate in 1985. He also undertook international study at the University of Durham, UK, at a 1994 English Speaking Union Scholar. His major fields of study include social ethics, psychology, and African American religion.

An insightful educator, Franklin has

He has served as program officer in

Human Rights and Social Justice at the Ford Foundation, and as an adviser to the foundation’s president on future funding for

religion and public life initia-
tives. Franklin was invited by American film producer Jeffrey Katzenberg to prepare an online study guide for the congrega-
tional use of The Prince of Egypt, a DreamWorks film (1999).

In 1997, Franklin assumed the presidency of the Interdeno-
ninational Theological Center (ITC), the Graduate Theological Seminary of the Atlanta Uni-
versity Center consortium. He served as the Chautauqua Institution’s Theologian in Residence for the 2003 season.


He is the coeditor of the forthcoming The Cambridge Companion to Martin Luther King Jr., published by Cambridge University Press.

A seasoned traveler, Franklin has studied seven languages and visited Africa, Asia, Europe, the Middle East, and the Caribbean.

He is the recipient of a Ford Foundation grant to examine religion and public life in Asia and has produced NPR commentaries based on this research. Since 2003, he has served as a consultant for the Annie E. Casey Foundation.

Franklin’s address is titled “Nurturing Citizens through Liberal Arts Education: Reflections on Dr. King’s Unpublished
Sulmasy Appointed
Kilbride-Clinton Professor of Medicine and Ethics

Daniel P. Sulmasy has been appointed Kilbride-Clinton Professor of Medicine and Ethics in the Department of Medicine and Divinity School and Associate Director of the MacLean Center for Clinical Medical Ethics in the Department of Medicine. Dr. Sulmasy is a Franciscan friar, internist, and ethicist. His research interests encompass both theoretical and empirical investigations of the ethics of end-of-life decision-making, ethics education, and spirituality in medicine. He has done extensive work on the role of intention in medical action, especially as it relates to the rule of double effect and the distinction between killing and allowing to die. He is also interested in the philosophy of medicine and the logic of diagnostic and therapeutic reasoning. His work in spirituality is focused primarily on the spiritual dimensions of the practice of medicine. His empirical studies have explored topics such as decision-making by surrogates on behalf of patients who are nearing death, and informed consent for biomedical research.

Sulmasy received his M.D. from Cornell University and his Ph.D. from Georgetown University. He completed his residency, chief residency, and post-doctoral fellowship in General Internal Medicine at the Johns Hopkins Hospital. He has previously held faculty positions at Georgetown University and New York Medical College. He continues to practice medicine part-time as a member of the University faculty practice.

Bigger Named Divinity’s Assistant Director of Development

Raised as a military brat, Sara Bigger lived throughout the U.S. and in Okinawa, Japan before attending Mariquette University and earning a B.S. in Mathematics. She taught math and religion at Gonzaga College Prep in Washington, DC and then moved to Chicago in 1991, when she came to the Divinity School and earned an A.M.R.S. degree. Sara then taught at St. Ignatius College Prep here in Chicago, where she was also the Director of Student Activities. She came back to campus as a Resident Head in the undergraduate housing system, and then held administrative jobs off-campus, including one at the Church of St. Paul & the Redeemer, in Kenwood.

Sara’s responsibilities at the Divinity School include overseeing the Annual Fund and the Visiting Committee, managing the database, stewarding gifts and fellowships, and identifying new vehicles for engaging alumni in the life of the School on a regular basis. She can be reached at 773-702-1867 or sbigger@uchicago.edu.

Correction

Garry Sparks’s name was omitted from the list of Divinity School recipients of the 2009 Provost’s Summer Grant.


Professor Hasan-Rokem has served as visiting professor at the University of California, Berkeley; University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia; and at various Scandinavian universities. She has been a Fellow at the Institute for Advanced Studies, Jerusalem and the Wissenschaftskolleg zu Berlin, and is presently a Senior Fellow at Scholion Center for Inter-disciplinary Research in Jewish Studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

Hasan-Rokem in Residence Spring 2010

Galit Hasan-Rokem, the Max and Margarethe Grunwald Professor of Folklore at the Mandel Institute of Jewish Studies at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem, will be Visiting Professor of Israel Studies in Spring Quarter, 2010.

Her main research interests include ethnographic, folkloristic and literary approaches to ancient Hebrew literature especially of the classical Rabbinic periods, i.e., the Talmudic-Midrashic corpus; the cultural variation of contemporary Israel, focusing on interfaces between various groups; the conceptualization of mobility in European literature with special reference to Jews; theory of folklore, especially semiotics and structuralism; and the folk literary and discursive genre of the proverb.

Among her publications in English are Proverbs in Israeli Folk Narratives: A Structural Semantic Analysis (Helsinki, 1982); Web of Life: Folklore and Midrash in Rabbinic Literature (Stanford, 2000); Tales of the Neighbor- hood: Jewish Narrative Dialogues in Late Antiquity (Berkeley, 2005). Her edited volumes include The Wandering Jew: Interpretations of a Christian Legend (Bloomington IN, 1986, coedited with A. Dundes); Untying the Knot: On Riddles and Other Enigmatic Modes (New York, 1996, coedited with D. Shulman);
Winter and Spring Events

**Alumnus of the Year Lecture and Reception**

Thursday, April 29, 2010
“Nurturing Citizens through Liberal Arts Education: Reflections on Dr. King’s Unpublished Papers”

A public lecture by Robert Michael Franklin, Jr., Ph.D. 1985, the Divinity School’s Alumnus of the Year for 2010, with a reception to follow. See p. 7 for detailed information on upcoming Marty Center conferences.

**Hindus in India and America: Hinduizing America; Globalizing India**

Monday – Wednesday, May 17–19, 2010
Swift Hall

This Spring Quarter conference will gather renowned scholars to engage aspects of Hinduism in America and patterns of American scholarship about Hinduism—from their distinctive perspectives.

Speakers include David Shulman (The Hebrew University of Jerusalem), V. Narayana Rao (University of Wisconsin, Madison), Laurie Patton (Emory University) and University of Chicago professors Leela Gandhi, Dipesh Chakrabarty, and Rochona Majumdar, among others.

The conference will conclude on May 19th, with a Dean’s Forum Wednesday Luncheon on Wendy Doniger’s recent book *The Hindus: An Alternative History*. The Dean’s Forum is a special Wednesday Luncheon which invites a faculty member to discuss one of his or her recent works, with formal response from several Divinity School colleagues.

Please see [http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences/hia/index.shtml](http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences/hia/index.shtml) for more information, including the program.

**Sixth Annual Ministry Conference**

**Worship in Crisis**

Friday, April 23, 2010
9 a.m.–5 p.m., Swift Lecture Hall

Worship in Crisis will bring together laypersons, pastors, students and theologians to discuss the crises that confront the practice of Christian worship in our time, from economic collapse to ecological endangerment. What might moments of crisis require of faith communities?

Joining the conversation with keynote addresses are Peter Rollins and Siobhán Garrigan, as well as a panel focusing on liturgical responses to local issues. Through hands-on breakout sessions, participants will explore creative ways in which timely worship can speak to the crises of today.

For more information, see [http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences](http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences).

**Alumni Reunion Dinner**

Friday, April 23, 2010
6:30 p.m., Location TBD

Join us for a Ministry Alumni reunion dinner, open to all alumni and their significant others, immediately following the conference reception. This event is free; we simply ask that you register. If you wish to help contact your classmates about the conference and the reunion dinner, email Sara Bigger, Assistant Director of Development for the Divinity School, at sfbigger@uchicago.edu.

**Wednesday Community Luncheons**

Every Wednesday when school is in session
Noon–1:15 p.m., Swift Common Room

Luncheons in Winter and Spring quarters will include Dean’s Forum and Professors Wendy Doniger, and Françoise Meltzer; and many more.

An Interview with Daniel P. Sulmasy

Daniel P. Sulmasy has been appointed Kilbride-Clinton Professor of Medicine and Ethics in the Department of Medicine and Divinity School and Associate Director of the MacLean Center for Clinical Medical Ethics in the Department of Medicine.

CIRCA: In the parlance of the medical community, you seem to move with real purpose between the bench and the bedside—i.e., you are both a scholar and a practicing internist. Is this accurate? And if so, could you say something about how you understand the connection between your research and your medical practice, in particular how each inflects the other?

DPS: Of course one must understand “bench to bedside” as an analogy. This is a very useful analogy, however, for explaining to my medical colleagues what I do. They are often scientists and very perplexed by how my work can be seen as medical scholarship. I explain to them that just as good diabetes care depends upon good biochemistry, so, morally, good medical care depends upon the basic sciences of philosophy and theology. We cannot improve medical ethics without studying ethics.

I spend most of my time doing scholarly work and teaching, but I see it as important to continue practicing clinical medicine. First, it helps me to keep my finger on the “pulse” of medicine—to know what the issues are that clinicians and patients are currently facing. Second, it provides a sort of “feedback loop” for me. If I cannot put into practice what I write, then what I write is not worth the electrons I have spilled on the topic. Finally, medical practice is just too much fun. Being a physician is an incredible privilege—an opportunity to serve and to know people in a very deep way at significant junctures in their lives.

CIRCA: The systems of thought of at least some medical ethicists must at times find themselves at variance with the systems of thought of at least some religions as practiced in the world today. And this itself would then lay open larger ethical issues. 

DPS: Religions are becoming more and more silent, or, in some cases, have been pushed to the margins of society’s conversations about bioethical issues. When they do speak out, too many religious voices are either indistinguishable from the secular, or speak with an alienating tone of strident condemnation. Neither of these approaches is helpful. At its best, the Roman Catholic tradition of natural law offers a way to be engaged in dialogue with all persons of reason and good will while remaining true to one’s own religiously inspired views. My own approach has generally been in that natural law tradition, broadly construed. For instance, in serving on the Ethics Committee of the Empire State Stem Cell Board we were able to come to some unanimous ethical conclusions despite our differences. For instance, at the outset, we were all able to agree that, despite pressure from scientists to release funds, we would support a temporary moratorium on funds for the morally controversial kinds of stem cell research until we had all been able to engage in a discussion about what moral constraints we thought should govern such research. That is to say, we agreed that there were serious matters of moral disagreement, and that the importance of ethics itself needed to be respected sufficiently so that we could discuss and argue the issues rather than simply voting to approve such research without a discussion. Second, we were able to agree that the human embryo was an organism and deserving of respectful treatment. Even such language required careful and mutually respectful discussion, which took place among committee members of many religious viewpoints and of no religious viewpoint. Beyond this natural law approach, however, I also think it is important for religious voices to be heard in their distinctiveness and out of the fullness of each particular religious tradition.

“...it is important for religious voices to be heard in their distinctiveness and out of the fullness of each particular religious tradition.”

How do the religions and medical ethicists address these challenges?

CIRCA: To what extent are ethical systems of thought taken into account by the practicing medical community? To what extent is medical practice taken into account by the community of ethicists? What are the emerging issues in medical ethics?

DPS: Ethics is now a required course in almost every medical school in the U.S. At the University of Chicago, students spend forty-two hours in the first year, equally...
Thanks to internet technology that makes it easy for graduates to stay in touch with M.Div. staff and with one another, we have more information from which to offer prophylactic answers to these anxious students, and we are gleaning, as well, a profile of our program’s broadening ecology—an impressive community of service providers and scholars that will continue to inform the teaching and learning in our ministry classrooms.

An informal sampling of correspondence with more recent M.Div. graduates suggests rich and varied professional engagement. A good number of these alumni are serving in pastoral roles in congregations—in New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Texas, California, Oregon, and Washington—not to mention several recent graduates who are working in congregations in Chicago and its suburbs. A steady stream of graduates work at the intersection between religion and public life for agencies like Church World Service, Sojourners, and the Mennonite Central Committee. Two M.Div. graduates, both of whom are engaged in pastoral ministry, are negotiating a book contract together, while another just received word that he was awarded first prize in a national fiction contest. And of course, there are always M.Div. alumni whose interests lead them not only to Ph.D. study in religion, with the intention of working in higher education, but to other fields as well: one of our recent graduates is in nursing school, and another is preparing for medical school—both engaging the questions and commitments that surfaced during their Divinity School days. A fascinating range of vocations extend from our ministry program; all are marked by a commitment to careful analysis, critical reflection, and committed, creative engagement in the midst of the world’s complexities. We are eager to learn more about these lives of reflective practice, and are currently creating more opportunities for former students to share their experiences and their insights with one another and with us. We have activated an online bulletin board for ministry alumni which will enable communication among alumni and also between alumni and the Divinity School. The near term, we will be inviting alumni to post original articles, essays, and sermons there and moderating responses and discussion; future plans for the board’s conversations will evolve as we experience its usage. There will also be a section for job postings, and other more immediate sorts of announcements as well.

Left: Church World Service and ten denominational partners organized a volunteer effort that is rebuilding or repairing homes in the Little Woods neighborhood of New Orleans’ Lower 9th Ward. Photo: Matt Hackworth/CWS

Above: A 2008 interfaith prayer vigil for immigration reform in Ohio. Photo: CWS

What does one DO with a ministry degree?

S

o, what do graduates DO with a Master of Divinity degree?” Prospective students, drawn to the Divinity School’s M.Div. program for its scholarly rigor combined with opportunities for sustained practical engagement, are often curious about the venues in which their combined interests in scholarship and practice might flourish, once the degree is in hand.

Thanks to internet technology that makes it easy for graduates to stay in touch with M.Div. staff and with one another, we have more information from which to offer prophylactic answers to these anxious students, and we are gleaning, as well, a profile of our program’s broadening ecology—an impressive community of service providers and scholars that will continue to inform the teaching and learning in our ministry classrooms.

An informal sampling of correspondence with more recent M.Div. graduates suggests rich and varied professional engagement. A good number of these alumni are serving in pastoral roles in congregations—in New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Texas, California, Oregon, and Washington—not to mention several recent graduates who are working in congregations in Chicago and its suburbs. A smaller but still substantial portion of the sample serve in hospital or military chaplaincies: they have accompanied troops to Iraq and provided care on psychiatric units at the Mayo Clinic and at Jackson Park Hospital. Two M.Div. alumni have recently been called to positions on the staff of a local seminary, working in development, community affairs, and student life; our own Director of Field Education is an example of an alumnus whose vocational engagement includes both work in higher education and chaplaincy and supervision. A steady stream of graduates work at the intersection between religion and public life creating more opportunities for former students to share their experiences and their insights with one another and with us. We have activated an online bulletin board for ministry alumni which will enable communication among alumni and also between alumni and the Divinity School. In the near term, we will be inviting alumni to post original articles, essays, and sermons there and moderating responses and discussion; future plans for the board’s conversations will evolve as we experience its usage. There will also be a section for job postings, and other more immediate sorts of announcements as well.

M.Div. alumni should have received an email from the Divinity School announcing our Sixth Annual Ministry Conference, “Worship in Crisis,” which will be held on April 23, 2010. In addition to a rich offering of speakers, panels, and workshops, we will be hosting a dinner for all ministry alumni that evening. Our new bulletin board will provide a clearinghouse to help you plan your visit for the conference. You can find the bulletin board at https://cforum.uchicago.edu/viewforum.php?f=11, and can view the postings there by becoming a member, with a current email address.

“And of course, there are always M.Div. alumni whose interests lead them not only to Ph.D. study in religion, with the intention of working in higher education, but to other fields as well...”
The Martin Marty Center builds on a long-standing conviction of the Divinity School that the best and most innovative scholarship in religion emerges from sustained dialogue with the world outside the academy. In all of its projects, the Center aims to serve as a robust circulatory system that strengthens, deepens, and extends scholarly inquiry by moving it through the deliberating bodies of the students, faculty, and public. — William Schweiker, Director of the Marty Center

Marty Center News and Events

Upcoming 2010 Conferences

Public and Private: Feminism, Marriage, and Family in Political Thought and Contemporary Life

Thursday and Friday, February 25–26, 2010 — Swift Hall
Jean Bethke Elshtain: The Engaged Mind Conference Series

The first of four conferences in the series “The Engaged Mind,” reflecting on themes drawn from the work of Jean Bethke Elshtain. Sponsored by the McDonald Agape Foundation

This cross-disciplinary conference will bring senior scholars and major thinkers together to discuss the “Ehbrtainian” themes of the boundaries of public and private, the ethical and normative bases of family and policy, and the limits and fragility of the human condition. Because her work traverses so many areas of endeavor, Elshtain has been variously identified as an historian, a psychologist, a sociologist, a philosopher, a “radical” feminist or a “conservative” feminist, a family scholar, and a bioethicist.

This first conference in the series will focus primarily on her early career with her first book, Public Man, Private Woman: Women in Social and Political Thought, as the centerpiece. (Named by Choice as one of the top academic books of 1980, Public Man, Private Woman, now in a second edition, has been in print for 28 years.) Speakers will take up multiple understandings of public and private, examining how boundaries have shifted over time. They will address the ways in which Elshtain’s thought has contributed to wider scholarly and civic conversation. Distinguished scholars in their own right, contributors will present their reflections on the conference theme based on their own areas of expertise.

We anticipate a lively discussion as Professor Elshtain responds to each panel and offers her own comments at the close of the conference.

Please see http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences/engagedmind/2010/index.shtml for more information, including the conference program.

The Augustinian Moment: Reflections at the Limits of Selfhood

Wednesday, May 26, 2010 — Swift Hall

This conference will bring together four Augustinian scholars who will have books coming out in 2010 or 2011 on Augustine. Brian Stock (University of Toronto), James Wetzel (Villanova University), Burcht Pranger (University of Amsterdam) and Jean-Luc Marion (University of Chicago) will gather to discuss some recent developments in the study of Augustine, which show that despite the secularization of western culture there is a significant expansion of his role from major Latin church father to enduring patriarch of the West.

Please see http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/conferences/augustine/index.html for more information.
Senior Research Fellows

Senior research Fellows are scholars from the United States and other countries throughout the world, on sabbatical leave from their home institutions. They are expected to situate their research within a broader cultural frame of reference, bringing their perspectives to bear on religious questions facing the wider public.

We asked our Senior Research Fellows what they hope to accomplish in their year as a Martin Marty Center Senior Fellow, and how the MMC looks as a place to work. Each Fellow will be presenting his or her work in a public forum in our Senior Research Fellow Symposia. See http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter for details.

W. David Hall

While at the Marty Center, I’ll be pursuing research on a book dealing with figures of speech in religious and theological discourse. This work builds on foundations that were established in past publications, particularly my last book, Paul Ricoeur and the Poetic Imperative (SUNY, 2007). My research explores the function and interaction of analogy, metaphor, hyperbole, and irony by plotting them along two axes that I label “integrative/disruptive” and “explicit/implicit.” Concerning the first axis, I suggest that tropes can function to integrate or even more ideas under a common theme (analogy, metaphor), or they may disrupt thought through tactics like overstatement (hyperbole), strategic misstatement, or the presentation of situations that frustrate expectation (irony). The first of these functions is fairly straightforward, and both analogy and metaphor have received much attention in theology. The disruptive function, however, requires a bit more explanation because there is a tendency to understand the disruptive effect of hyperbole and irony in terms of “disintegration” or deconstruction. While there is frequently a deconstructive moment perhaps even overturning presuppositions. Concerning the second axis, I suggest that tropes can integrate or disrupt either explicitly—in essence announcing themselves (analogy/hyperbole)—or implicitly, covertly working beneath the surface of the statement and in a sense surprising or shocking the audience into a certain way of thinking about an idea or concept (metaphor/irony). The Marty Center is an ideal venue in which to engage an interdisciplinary project like this one that employs religious studies, theology, literary theory, and rhetorical criticism. The Marty seminar meetings constitute an exchange of ideas from scholars at various levels and from various areas within the study of religion, and I very much look forward to contributing to and benefiting from them. The Divinity School has long had a focus on interdisciplinary approaches to the study of religion, and the University of Chicago has continued to exercise a profound influence on developments in the study of rhetorical theory and criticism. Finally, and perhaps most importantly, the structures of accountability placed upon senior fellows of the Marty Center ensure that research is productive.

Sarah Hammerschlag

I plan to work on a new book project tentatively titled Sowers and Sages: the Renaissance of Judaism in Postwar Paris. This project will treat the Jewish intellectual movement that developed in Paris in the 1950’s and 1960’s aimed at resuscitating European Judaism after World War II. During these decades prominent French Jewish intellectuals reinvested in Judaism and trained a generation of students to become spokespersons for the tradition. What unified the movement was its sense of mission—namely a belief that the time had come for Judaism’s core truths to be translated and transmitted to the world, for the Hebrew Bible and the rabbinic tradition, moreover, to serve as the foundation for a critique of the West. I hope to provide an historical narrative of this movement, treating its origins, its central figures and its political impact, but I also plan to use this narrative to analyze the strategies that allow a religious tradition to legitimate itself when faced with a break with the past and to consider the political effect of these strategies, particularly as they involve distilling the tradition into a dehistoricized essence.

“What unified the movement was its sense of mission—namely a belief that the time had come for Judaism’s core truths to be translated and transmitted to the world...”

Continued on back cover

W. DAVID HALL

in hyperbole and irony, I intend to stress that both are fundamentally constructive. The employment of hyperbole and irony is ultimately a productive rhetorical maneuver; the aim is to engender thinking, even if in a fashion that begins by disturbing and perhaps even overturning presuppositions.”
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these days, one can scarcely glance at a media source—whether in print, televised, or online—without spotting coverage of religious events or trends on the local, national, and international stage. Gone are the days when Sightings had to work to uncover then-rare “sightings” of religion in the news.

Most readers would probably agree that it is a happy circumstance that Sightings today has plentiful company on “the religion beat.” But as is demonstrated by the ever-climbing subscription rate, and by the thoughtful feedback of Sightings’ 7,500 subscribers (as well as countless others to whom columns are forwarded each week, or who find Sightings reprinted in another venue or in the content of a sermon, a classroom lecture, or an informal chat), the expert analysis and commentary provided in Sightings continues to meet a need, as authors explore overlooked angles, provide fresh perspectives, and bring methodological rigor to issues of religion in public life.

Recent columns have analyzed such hor- topic issues as religion and the Sotomayor hearings, the question of gay marriage, and, of course, the Pope’s pronouncements on Anglican clergy, to name only a few. Sightings has also addressed itself to stories that receive less widespread attention; for example, communications from the Vatican regarding the classification of miracles, evangelicalism and environmentalism, kosher food regulations, a Turkish game show in which the grand prize is awarded to atheists who convert to one of four religions, and more. True to its calling, Sightings has sighted religion in less likely places, including the death of pop icon Michael Jackson, retellings of Indo-European myths in popular horror films, and religious discourse on the swine flu epidemic; columns have also offered fresh interpretations of religion in obvious places, like “hyper-muscular Christianity” and the new Jewish American Girl doll. To read these and other past Sightings columns, visit our website, http://divinity.uchicago.edu/martycenter/publications/sightings/.

Sightings’ authors continue to come from Swift Hall and beyond; in large part they are academics, clergy, journalists, and graduate students. But we are especially glad for opportunities to provide analysis from other professionals who see the intersection of religion and public life in their own fields. In the following piece, United States District Judge Joan Gottschall provides expert analysis of a significant brief filed last summer in the Supreme Court, groundbreaking because, as she argues, it introduces a new kind of religion-based argument in juvenile life-without-parole cases. Judge Gottschall’s piece is insightful and illuminating, introducing readers to an issue of interest and importance. It is Sightings at its best. Please enjoy reading “Religion-Based Arguments in Juvenile Life Without Parole Cases.”

---

Religion and Culture Web Forum

The Religion and Culture Web Forum’s mission is to provide “an online forum for thought-provoking discussion on the relationship of scholarship in religion to culture and public life.” This year the forum has continued to explore a variety of approaches to and understandings of religion within a diversity of contexts that characterizes the Marty Center’s engagement of academic rigor in a public context.

In September, Marlene Tromp of Denison University explored the significance of food in Spiritualist rituals (including séances) of Victorian England. October occasioned a discussion by Yale Divinity School’s Andre C. Willis of “radical impermanence” in recent American pragmatism as a means for addressing the implications of the current financial crisis. November saw Mark Scott of Concordia University in Montréal seeking religious theoretical grounding for the theological concept of theodicy that would permit closer attention to individual suffering that transpires on the “micro” rather than the “macro” levels. In December Kristen Tobey, current Divinity School student and editor of Sightings discussed the Flowshales movement, an active Catholic anti-nuclear movement that engages in spectacular protests.

Former Marty Center director Wendy Doniger rang in the New Year with a paper on concepts of Hindu theism, followed by an offering from another current Divinity School student, Sarah Imhoff, on current trends in Hasidic music (including adaptations of rap and reggae). In March, Art Historian Laura Lindenberger discussed the evolution of representations of Uncle Tom in illustrated editions of Harriet Beecher Stone’s Uncle Tom’s Cabin.

Recent respondents have included Corey D. B. Walker, Charles Long, Gail Turley Houston, Kathryn Lofton, Jon Pahl, Winifred Fallers Sullivan, and Mark Hobart.

To be notified of new content monthly, subscribe to our mailing list at https://listhost.uchicago.edu/mailman/listinfo/rcwf. The Web Forum welcomes submissions from affiliates (present and past) of the Divinity School. Inquiries should be directed to the forum’s managing editor, M. Cooper Harris, at charriss@uchicago.edu.
Those interested in the intersection of religious values and public policy, and particularly criminal justice policy, should take note of a brief filed this past summer in the Supreme Court of the United States in the joined cases of *Graham v. Florida*, No. 08–7412, and *Sullivan v. Florida*, No. 08–7621, on behalf of approximately twenty religious organizations as amici curiae or friends of the Court.

(See endnotes for a list of organizations)

These two cases present the issue of whether the Eighth Amendment to the Constitution’s ban on cruel and unusual punishment proscribes the sentencing of juveniles convicted of non-homicide offenses to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole, as occurred in these two cases. Oral argument for the cases took place on Monday, November 9.

The brief is noteworthy for a number of reasons. First, it represents an effort by the diverse religious groups involved to speak in one voice on a matter of faith and conviction. Second, the brief locates as central to each of these faith traditions the values of mercy, forgiveness and compassion, and the link between these values and concepts of justice and charity...”

“The legal position advanced by the brief is also remarkable, for amici argue that their shared religious values require the Supreme Court to reverse the Florida judgments and to hold that it is a violation of the Eighth Amendment to sentence juveniles convicted of non-homicide offenses to life without the possibility of parole. The brief reasons as follows: First, it reviews traditional religious recognition of the distinction between children and adults, both in religious teachings regarding crime and punishment and in other aspects of religious law. It then summarizes the growing scientific support for this distinction and reviews the widespread cultural recognition that “the physical and mental immaturity of youth requires special treatment.” Third, it argues that ignoring the special status of youth and condemning juveniles to die in prison contravenes the fundamental religious values of mercy, forgiveness, and compassion. The brief points out that all individuals are entitled to these, but that the weak and vulnerable (such as children) have a special entitlement to compassionate treatment. Indeed, it states that “[j]uveniles who commit serious crimes often come from disadvantaged backgrounds: many are poor, and frequently they have been the victims of abuse and neglect. These are exactly the type of children the amici’s faith traditions stress are most deserving of kindness and compassion.”

Finally, the brief discusses the religious problem posed by a natural life sentence imposed on a juvenile offender. Such a sentence is unjust, the brief argues, because it fails to recognize the potential of juveniles to grow, develop, and be rehabilitated; it thus contravenes the foundational concept of rehabilitation within each of the amici’s faith traditions. The brief quotes the Florida judge who sentenced Terrance Jamar Graham (sixteen years old when he committed the crime for which he was sentenced) to life imprisonment without the possibility of parole. The judge noted Graham’s “escalating pattern of criminal conduct,” and concluded, “[t]here is nothing we can do for you.” This “nihilistic view,” the brief argues, “is antithetical to the perspectives of amici’s faith traditions and of American society at large.”

The amici observe that their religious traditions recognize that “just punishment must allow for the offender to be rehabilitated and restored to the community when possible.” Each of their traditions, they write, embraces the principle of “restorative justice,” which involves establishing a system of justice that, in the words of Michael L. Hadley, “moves from punishment to reconciliation, and from vengeance against offenders to healing for victims, from alienation and harshness to community and wholeness, from negativity and destructiveness to healing, forgiveness, and mercy.” The brief describes with detailed examples how the concept of restorative justice is rooted in the faith traditions of the amici.

Anyone who has read the news of the last several months is aware of the controversy ignited by the President’s remark that among his criteria in selecting judges was empathy. As the pundits are fond of pointing out, on
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divided between lecture and small group discussion. That might be the most required hours in ethics in any medical school in the country. Ethics consults have also become routine clinical events, in which questions or disagreements among staff or between the medical team and the patient or family are discussed and, more often than not, resolved. All of this was unheard of thirty years ago. That much being said, I cannot say that all of this has become very deeply rooted or that the quality of these ethical discussions is really high everywhere. There remains much work to be done, which is part of why I came to the University of Chicago. We need more excellent teachers and scholars in ethics in our medical schools and hospitals, and this is a good place to prepare them.

Technology seems continually to outpace our ability to engage in moral reflection about it. And the more medical technology we have, the more clinicians and families are saddled with the burden of decision making. When is the technology serving human needs, and when are human beings enslaved to their technology? This is even a pastoral problem, because it ultimately affects nearly everyone. Before there were such things as ventilators, one never had to ask whether it was a good or bad thing to be connected to one. Before there was dialysis, one never had to ask whether it is ever morally permissible to stop it. New technologies appear on the horizon continually and are diffused before we have had a chance to reflect seriously about the morality of their use—stem cells, nano-technology, artificial hearts, genetic enhancements are only a few of the newest ones. Bioethics will have plenty to do for the foreseeable future!
The Martin Marty Center provides me with the exciting opportunity to engage with other scholars whose concerns center on the impact of religion on the public sphere. The earliest stages of my dissertation were completed at the Marty Center as a junior fellow and I feel lucky to be able to call once again on the wisdom of other fellows at the center as I embark on my next book project.

Slavica Jakelić

During my fellowship tenure at the Martin Marty Center, I will be working on my book *Collectivistic Religions: Religion, Choice, and Identity in Late Modernity* (under contract with Ashgate). The central argument of the book is that religious pluralization is a condition of late modernity that not only establishes religious markets based on choice, but also sustains ascribed religious identities. There are millions of people around the globe who were born into their religious traditions rather than religiously born again. Their religion is ascribed to them, rather than chosen by them; it is experienced as fixed rather than changeable. I call these religions collectivistic religions, because they bind individuals to a historically particular moral community and are never just a matter of belief.

On the one hand, I consider collectivistic religions, most specifically, collectivistic Christianities, sociologically and historically, in a range of European contexts. On the other hand, I depart from the philosophical anthropology implied in the notions of ‘choice,’ ‘religious preference,’ and ‘religious markets,’ as I maintain that people approach religious plurality within certain qualitative constraints, among which are cultural contexts, historical narratives, and communal boundaries. Put succinctly, while scholars of religion have long been asking why and how modern people choose their religion, I am asking why so many people feel as if they have no choice to make.

Contrary to the usual focus on the clashes between secularisms and religions, I am interested in the areas of consensus between religious and secular worldviews as well as the philosophical, historical, political, and institutional conditions conducive for their coexistence.

The Martin Marty Center will provide an ideal environment for me: this is an interdisciplinary institute that brings together scholars concerned with the big questions about religion but also attentive to the relevance of such questions for public life. I very much look forward to the conversations and exchanges with other scholars at the center and hope to contribute to its intellectual community with my interdisciplinary background and scholarly interests.

SLAVICA JAKELIĆ
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